Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/580,607	DUBEDOUT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES M. HEWITT	3679
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del></del>
(1) JAMES M. HEWITT.	(3)	
(2) <u>Lee Stepina</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 December 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4, 6, 27, 30, 32-37		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/580,607

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 4, 6, 27, 30 and 37 as reflected in the accomapnying Examiner's Amendment in order to preclude any 112 rejections and to place the case in condition for allowance. Claims 32-36 are canceled as being drawn to a non-elected invention without traverse.